arXiv:math/0702509v1 [math.CO] 17 Feb 2007

A half-space approach to order dimension

Stephan Foldes and Jenő Szigeti

Abstract. The aim of the present paper is to investigate the half-spaces in the convexity structure of all quasiorders on a given set and to use them in an alternative approach to classical order dimension. The main result states that linear orders can almost always be replaced by half-space quasiorders in the definition of the dimension of a partially ordered set.

1. INTRODUCTION

Within the framework of the general theory of abstract convexity (van de Vel [9]), strict quasiorders (irreflexive and transitive relations) on a set A can be thought of as convex subsets of $\{(x,y) \in A \times A \mid x \neq y\}$:

1. $\{(x,y) \in A \times A \mid x \neq y\}$ is a strict quasiorder,
2. any intersection of strict quasiorders is a strict quasiorder,
3. any nested union of strict quasiorders is a strict quasiorder.

In general, a half-space is defined as a convex subset of the base set with a convex set complement. Abstract convexity theory addresses questions such as the representation of convex sets as intersections of half-spaces. For technical reasons, instead of the strict quasiorders in $\{(x,y) \in A \times A \mid x \neq y\}$, we shall consider the ordinary (reflexive) quasiorders in $A \times A$ (there is a natural one to one correspondence between them). We can use half-space quasiorders to define the half-space dimension of a quasiordered set, in a similar way as linear orders are used to define the order dimension of a partially ordered set. The aim of the present paper is to investigate the half-space quasiorders and to study the above dimension concept for quasiorders, along the lines of the classical theory of order dimension (see e.g. [1,2,7,8]). Our main result (Theorem 2.16) states that linear orders can almost always be replaced by half-space quasiorders in the definition of the order dimension. Since there are considerably more half-spaces than linear orders, establishing upper bounds on order dimension can be easier using representations of partial orders as intersections of half-spaces.

In section 2 we provide some simple characterizations of half-spaces and examine the relationship between half-spaces and linear orders. A standard construction together with a complete description of half-spaces is also given. In the rest of section

---

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 06A06, 06A07, 06A10 and 52A01.

Key words and phrases. convexity, quasiorder, preorder, half-space, dimension.

The work of the first named author was partially supported by the European Community's Marie Curie Program (contract MTKD-CT-2004-003006). The second named author's work was supported by OTKA of Hungary No. T043034.

2, we show the tight connection between half-space dimension and classical order dimension. It turns out, that the half-space dimension and the order dimension of a partially ordered set can be different only for half-space partial orders.
In section 3 we prove that the direct product of quasiorders can be a half-space only in one exceptional situation.

2. HALF-SPACES AND THE DIMENSION OF QUASIORDERED SETS

A *quasiorder* γ on the set A is a reflexive and transitive relation:

$$\Delta_A = \{(a,a) \mid a \in A\} \subseteq \gamma \subseteq A \times A$$

and $(x,y) \in \gamma$, $(y,z) \in \gamma$ imply $(x,z) \in \gamma$ for all $x,y,z \in A$. The containment relation $\subseteq$ provides a natural complete lattice structure on the set $\mathrm{Quord}(A)$ of all quasiorders on A: $(\mathrm{Quord}(A), \vee, \cap)$. If γ is a partial order, then we frequently use the standard notations $x \leq_\gamma y$ and $x <_\gamma y$ for $(x,y) \in \gamma$ and for $(x,y) \in \gamma$, $x \neq y$. For a quasiorder γ, the relation $\gamma \cap \gamma^{-1}$ is an equivalence on A, the equivalence class of an element $a \in A$ is denoted by $[a]_{\gamma\cap\gamma^{-1}}$, thus

$$A/(\gamma \cap \gamma^{-1}) = \{[a]_{\gamma\cap\gamma^{-1}} \mid a \in A\}.$$

It is well known that γ induces a natural partial order r_γ (in order to avoid repeated indices, we write $\leq^\gamma$ instead of $\leq_{r_\gamma}$) on the above quotient set: for $a,b \in A$

$$[a]_{\gamma\cap\gamma^{-1}} \leq^\gamma [b]_{\gamma\cap\gamma^{-1}} \text{ if and only if } (x,y) \in \gamma \text{ for some } x \in [a]_{\gamma\cap\gamma^{-1}} \text{ and } y \in [b]_{\gamma\cap\gamma^{-1}}.$$

Also $[a]_{\gamma\cap\gamma^{-1}} \leq^\gamma [b]_{\gamma\cap\gamma^{-1}}$ holds if and only if $(x,y) \in \gamma$ for all $x \in [a]_{\gamma\cap\gamma^{-1}}$ and for all $y \in [b]_{\gamma\cap\gamma^{-1}}$.
A quasiorder $\alpha \subseteq A \times A$ is said to be a *half-space on* A if it has a "strong" complement in the lattice $(\mathrm{Quord}(A), \subseteq)$, i.e. if $\alpha \cup \beta = A \times A$ and $\alpha \cap \beta = \Delta_A$ hold for some quasiorder $\beta \subseteq A \times A$. Clearly, this complement β is also a half-space and is uniquely determined by α: $\beta = \Delta_A \cup ((A \times A) \setminus \alpha)$. It follows, that α is a half-space if and only if $\Delta_A \cup ((A \times A) \setminus \alpha)$ is transitive. The simplest examples of half-spaces are linear orders, the identity Δ_A and the full relation $A \times A$ on any set A. Complementary half-spaces are put into a pair of the form $\alpha \updownarrow \beta$ and can be characterized in the lattice $(\mathrm{Quord}(A), \vee, \cap)$ as follows.

2.1.Proposition. *For any quasiorders* $\alpha, \beta \in\mathrm{Quord}(A)$ *the following are equivalent:*

1. $\alpha \updownarrow \beta$ *is a pair of complementary half-spaces, i.e.* $\alpha \cap \beta = \Delta_A$ *and* $\alpha \cup \beta = A \times A$.
2. $\alpha \cap \beta = \Delta_A$ *and* $(\alpha \cap \gamma) \vee (\beta \cap \gamma) = \gamma$ *for all* $\gamma \in\mathrm{Quord}(A)$.

Proof. (1) $\Longrightarrow$ (2):

$$\gamma = (A \times A) \cap \gamma = (\alpha \cup \beta) \cap \gamma = (\alpha \cap \gamma) \cup (\beta \cap \gamma) \subseteq (\alpha \cap \gamma) \vee (\beta \cap \gamma) \subseteq \gamma.$$

(2) $\Longrightarrow$ (1): Suppose that $\alpha \cup \beta \neq A \times A$, then $(a,b) \notin \alpha \cup \beta$ for some $a,b \in A$. Since $\gamma(a,b) = \Delta_A \cup \{(a,b)\}$ is a quasiorder on A, we have

$$(\alpha \cap \gamma(a,b)) \vee (\beta \cap \gamma(a,b)) = \gamma(a,b)$$

in contradiction with $\alpha \cap \gamma(a,b)) = \beta \cap \gamma(a,b) = \Delta_A$.$\square$

For a half-space α the inverse relation α^{-1} is also a half-space, if $\alpha \updownarrow \beta$ for $\alpha, \beta \in\mathrm{Quord}(A)$, then $\alpha^{-1} \updownarrow \beta^{-1}$. If $B \subseteq A$ is a subset, then the restriction of a quasiorder to B yields a quasiorder on B and a similar statement holds for half-spaces, $\alpha \updownarrow \beta$ implies that $\alpha \cap (B \times B) \updownarrow \beta \cap (B \times B)$. This observation can be used to give another characterization of half-spaces.

2.2.Proposition. *For a quasiorder* $\alpha \in \mathrm{Quord}(A)$ *the following are equivalent:*

(1) α *is a half-space.*
(2) $\alpha \cap (B \times B)$ *is a half-space (on B) for any three element subset* $B \subseteq A$.
(3) *For any* $x, y, z \in A$ *the relations* $(x, y) \notin \alpha$, $(y, x) \notin \alpha$ *and* $(x, z) \in \alpha$, $z \neq x$ *imply that* $(y, z) \in \alpha$.
(4) *For any* $x, y, z \in A$ *the relations* $(z, y) \notin \alpha$, $(y, z) \notin \alpha$ *and* $(x, z) \in \alpha$, $x \neq z$ *imply that* $(x, y) \in \alpha$.

Proof. (1) $\Longrightarrow$ (2): This is a special case of our claim preceding Proposition 2.2.
(2) $\Longrightarrow$ (3): Let $(x, y) \notin \alpha$, $(y, x) \notin \alpha$ and $(x, z) \in \alpha$, $z \neq x$ for the elements $x, y, z \in A$ and take the three element subset $B = \{x, y, z\}$ of A. Suppose that $(y, z) \notin \alpha$ and consider the complementary half-space $\delta \subseteq B \times B$ of $\alpha \cap (B \times B)$. Now

$$(\alpha \cap (B \times B)) \cup \delta = B \times B$$

implies that $(x, y) \in \delta$ and $(y, z) \in \delta$, whence $(x, z) \in (\alpha \cap (B \times B)) \cap \delta = \Delta_B$ can be derived in contradiction with $z \neq x$.
(3) $\Longrightarrow$ (4): Let $(z, y) \notin \alpha$, $(y, z) \notin \alpha$ and $(x, z) \in \alpha$, $x \neq z$ for the elements $x, y, z \in A$ and suppose that $(x, y) \notin \alpha$. Clearly, $(y, x) \in \alpha$ would imply $(y, z) \in \alpha$, a contradiction. Thus $(x, y) \notin \alpha$, $(y, x) \notin \alpha$ and $(x, z) \in \alpha$, $x \neq z$, whence we obtain that $(y, z) \in \alpha$, a contradiction. It follows that $(x, y) \in \alpha$.
(4) $\Longrightarrow$ (1): In order to see the transitivity of $\beta = \Delta_A \cup ((A \times A) \setminus \alpha)$ let $(x, y) \in \beta$, $(y, z) \in \beta$, $x \neq y$ and suppose that $(x, z) \notin \beta$. We have either $(z, y) \notin \alpha$ or $(z, y) \in \alpha$. In the first case $(z, y) \notin \alpha$, $(y, z) \notin \alpha$ and $(x, z) \in \alpha$, $x \neq z$ would imply that $(x, y) \in \alpha \cap \beta = \Delta_A$, a contradiction. In the second case $(x, z) \in \alpha$ and $(z, y) \in \alpha$ would imply that $(x, y) \in \alpha \cap \beta = \Delta_A$, a contradiction again. Thus we have $(x, z) \in \beta$.□

2.3.Proposition. *If α is a half-space quasiorder on A, then the induced partial order r_α is a half-space on* $A/(\alpha \cap \alpha^{-1})$.

Proof. We can use part (3) in Proposition 2.2. If $([x]_{\alpha\cap\alpha^{-1}}, [y]_{\alpha\cap\alpha^{-1}}) \notin r_\alpha$, $([y]_{\alpha\cap\alpha^{-1}}, [x]_{\alpha\cap\alpha^{-1}}) \notin r_\alpha$ and $([x]_{\alpha\cap\alpha^{-1}}, [z]_{\alpha\cap\alpha^{-1}}) \in r_\alpha$, $[z]_{\alpha\cap\alpha^{-1}} \neq [x]_{\alpha\cap\alpha^{-1}}$, then we have $(x, y) \notin \alpha$, $(y, x) \notin \alpha$ and $(x, z) \in \alpha$, $z \neq x$. Since α is a half-space, we obtain first $(y, z) \in \alpha$ and then $([y]_{\alpha\cap\alpha^{-1}}, [z]_{\alpha\cap\alpha^{-1}}) \in r_\alpha$.□

2.4.Proposition. *If $\gamma \subseteq A \times A$ is a quasiorder and $\gamma \subseteq \alpha$ for some half-space α on A, then there exists a half-space τ on A, such that $\gamma \subseteq \tau \subseteq \alpha$ and* $\tau \cap \tau^{-1} = \gamma \cap \gamma^{-1}$.

Proof. Let R be a linear extension of the induced partial order r_γ and define the relation $\tau \subseteq A \times A$ as follows:

$$\tau = \alpha \setminus \{(a, b) \in \alpha \cap \alpha^{-1} \mid [b]_{\gamma\cap\gamma^{-1}} <_R [a]_{\gamma\cap\gamma^{-1}}\}.$$

Since $(x, y) \in \gamma$ implies that $(x, y) \in \alpha$ and $[x]_{\gamma\cap\gamma^{-1}} \leq_R [y]_{\gamma\cap\gamma^{-1}}$, we obtain that $(x, y) \in \tau$. Thus $\gamma \subseteq \tau \subseteq \alpha$ and $\gamma \cap \gamma^{-1} \subseteq \tau \cap \tau^{-1}$. If $(x, y) \in \tau \cap \tau^{-1}$, then the relations $[y]_{\gamma\cap\gamma^{-1}} <_R [x]_{\gamma\cap\gamma^{-1}}$ and $[x]_{\gamma\cap\gamma^{-1}} <_R [y]_{\gamma\cap\gamma^{-1}}$ are not satisfied, whence $[x]_{\gamma\cap\gamma^{-1}} = [y]_{\gamma\cap\gamma^{-1}}$ and $(x, y) \in \gamma \cap \gamma^{-1}$ can be derived. It follows, that $\tau \cap \tau^{-1} \subseteq \gamma \cap \gamma^{-1}$ and hence $\tau \cap \tau^{-1} = \gamma \cap \gamma^{-1}$.

In order to see the transitivity of τ take $(x, y) \in \tau$ and $(y, z) \in \tau$. Now $(x, y) \in \alpha$ and $(y, z) \in \alpha$ imply that $(x, z) \in \alpha$. Suppose that $(x, z) \notin \tau$, whence $(x, z) \in \alpha \cap \alpha^{-1}$ and $[z]_{\gamma\cap\gamma^{-1}} <_R [x]_{\gamma\cap\gamma^{-1}}$ follow. The relations $(y, z) \in \alpha$ and $(z, x) \in \alpha$ imply that $(y, x) \in \alpha$ and hence $(x, y) \in \alpha \cap \alpha^{-1}$. Similarly, $(z, x) \in \alpha$ and $(x, y) \in \alpha$ imply that $(y, z) \in \alpha \cap \alpha^{-1}$. In view of $(x, y) \in \tau$ and $(y, z) \in \tau$ we have $[x]_{\gamma\cap\gamma^{-1}} \leq_R [y]_{\gamma\cap\gamma^{-1}}$ and $[y]_{\gamma\cap\gamma^{-1}} \leq_R [z]_{\gamma\cap\gamma^{-1}}$, whence we obtain that $[x]_{\gamma\cap\gamma^{-1}} \leq_R [z]_{\gamma\cap\gamma^{-1}}$, a contradiction.

In order to prove that τ is a half-space we can use part (3) of Proposition 2.2. Take $x, y, z \in A$ such that $(x, y) \notin \tau$, $(y, x) \notin \tau$ and $(x, z) \in \tau$, $z \neq x$. Now $(x, y) \notin \tau$ implies that either $(x, y) \notin \alpha$ or $(x, y) \in \alpha \cap \alpha^{-1}$ with $[y]_{\gamma\cap\gamma^{-1}} <_R [x]_{\gamma\cap\gamma^{-1}}$. Similarly, $(y, x) \notin \tau$ implies that either $(y, x) \notin \alpha$ or $(y, x) \in \alpha\cap\alpha^{-1}$ with $[x]_{\gamma\cap\gamma^{-1}} <_R [y]_{\gamma\cap\gamma^{-1}}$. It is easy to check that the only possibility to have $(x, y) \notin \tau$ and $(y, x) \notin \tau$ at the same time is the case when $(x, y) \notin \alpha$ and $(y, x) \notin \alpha$. Since α is a half-space, $(x, y) \notin \alpha$, $(y, x) \notin \alpha$ and $(x, z) \in \alpha$, $z \neq x$ imply that $(y, z) \in \alpha$. Suppose that $(y, z) \in \alpha \cap \alpha^{-1}$, then $(x, z) \in \alpha$ and the transitivity of α imply that $(x, y) \in \alpha$, a contradiction. Thus we have $(y, z) \notin \alpha \cap \alpha^{-1}$, whence $(y, z) \in \tau$ follows.□

2.5.Proposition. *Let the partial order α be a half-space on A. If λ is a linear order on A, then*

$$\alpha[\lambda] = \alpha \cup (\lambda \setminus (\alpha \cup \alpha^{-1}))$$

is a linear extension of α on A and $\alpha = \alpha[\lambda] \cap \alpha[\lambda^{-1}]$.

Proof. In order to see the transitivity of $\alpha[\lambda]$ take $(x, y) \in \alpha[\lambda]$ and $(y, z) \in \alpha[\lambda]$ with $x \neq y \neq z$. Clearly, $(x, y) \in \alpha$ and $(y, z) \in \alpha$ imply $(x, z) \in \alpha$. If $(x, y) \in \alpha$ and $(y, z) \in \lambda \setminus (\alpha \cup \alpha^{-1})$, then $(y, z) \notin \alpha$, $(z, y) \notin \alpha$ and $(x, y) \in \alpha$, $x \neq y$, whence $(x, z) \in \alpha$ can be derived by part (4) of Proposition 2.2. Similarly, $(x, y) \in \lambda \setminus (\alpha \cup \alpha^{-1})$ and $(y, z) \in \alpha$ imply $(x, z) \in \alpha$ by part (3) of Proposition 2.2. If we have $(x, y) \in \lambda \setminus (\alpha \cup \alpha^{-1})$ and $(y, z) \in \lambda \setminus (\alpha \cup \alpha^{-1})$, then $(x, y) \in \lambda$ and $(y, z) \in \lambda$ imply $(x, z) \in \lambda$. Since $(x, y) \notin \alpha \cup \alpha^{-1}$ and $(y, z) \notin \alpha \cup \alpha^{-1}$ imply that $(x, y) \in \beta \cap \beta^{-1}$ and $(y, z) \in \beta \cap \beta^{-1}$ (here β is the complementary half-space of α), the transitivity of $\beta\cap\beta^{-1}$ gives that $(x, z) \in \beta\cap\beta^{-1}$, i.e. that $(x, z) \notin \alpha\cup\alpha^{-1}$. It follows that $(x, z) \in \lambda \setminus (\alpha \cup \alpha^{-1})$.

Suppose that $(x, y) \in \alpha[\lambda]$ and $(y, x) \in \alpha[\lambda]$, then $(x, y) \in \alpha$ and $(y, x) \in \lambda\setminus(\alpha\cup\alpha^{-1})$ is impossible. Similarly, $(x, y) \in \lambda\setminus(\alpha\cup\alpha^{-1})$ and $(y, x) \in \alpha$ is also impossible. Thus we have either $(x, y) \in \alpha$, $(y, x) \in \alpha$ or $(x, y) \in \lambda\setminus(\alpha\cup\alpha^{-1})$, $(y, x) \in \lambda\setminus(\alpha\cup\alpha^{-1})$, in both cases $x = y$ follows by the antisymmetric properties of α and λ, respectively.

Suppose that $(x, y) \notin \alpha$ and $(y, x) \notin \alpha$, then $(x, y) \notin \alpha \cup \alpha^{-1}$. Now $(x, y) \in \lambda$ implies $(x, y) \in \lambda \setminus (\alpha \cup \alpha^{-1})$ and $(y, x) \in \lambda$ implies $(y, x) \in \lambda \setminus (\alpha \cup \alpha^{-1})$. We proved that $\alpha[\lambda]$ is a linear order.

Using $\alpha \cap (\lambda \setminus (\alpha \cup \alpha^{-1})) = \alpha \cap (\lambda^{-1} \setminus (\alpha \cup \alpha^{-1})) = \varnothing$ and $\lambda \cap \lambda^{-1} = \Delta_A$, it is straightforward to see that $\alpha = \alpha[\lambda] \cap \alpha[\lambda^{-1}]$.□

2.6.Corollary. *If α is a half-space quasiorder on A, then the induced partial order is of the form $r_\alpha = R_1 \cap R_2$ for some linear orders R_1 and R_2 on $A/(\alpha \cap \alpha^{-1})$, i.e. r_α has order dimension at most 2.*

Proof. The partial order r_α is a half-space on $A/(\alpha \cap \alpha^{-1})$ by Proposition 2.3. If R is an arbitrary linear order on $A/(\alpha \cap \alpha^{-1})$, then $R_1 = r_\alpha[R]$ and $R_2 = r_\alpha[R^{-1}]$ are linear orders on $A/(\alpha \cap \alpha^{-1})$ with $r_\alpha = r_\alpha[R] \cap r_\alpha[R^{-1}]$ by Proposition 2.5.□

We remark that Corollary 2.6 does not characterize half-spaces entirely. As already noted, any linear order λ on A is an example of a half-space: $\lambda \updownarrow \lambda^{-1}$. Let $f : A \longrightarrow X$ be a function, $X_1 \subseteq X$ a subset, R a linear order on X and define the following relations on A:

$$\ker_{X_1}(f) = \Delta_A \cup \{(a,b) \in A \times A \mid f(a) = f(b) \in X_1\}\,,$$
$$f^{-1}(R) = \Delta_A \cup \{(a,b) \in A \times A \mid f(a) <_R f(b)\}\,.$$

The following is a standard construction of a half-space using a linear order.

2.7.Proposition. *Let (A,γ) be a quasiordered set, (X,ρ) a partially ordered set and $f : A \longrightarrow X$ a (γ,ρ) quasiorder preserving function: $(x,y) \in \gamma \Longrightarrow (f(x),f(y)) \in \rho$ for all $x,y \in A$. If $X_1 \subseteq X$ is a subset, $\rho \subseteq R$ is a linear extension of ρ on X and $\gamma \cap \ker(f) \subseteq \ker_{X_1}(f)$, then*

$$\alpha = \ker_{X_1}(f) \cup f^{-1}(R)$$

is a half-space extension of γ and $\alpha \cap \alpha^{-1} = \ker_{X_1}(f)$.
If $X_1 = \varnothing$, then $\ker_{X_1}(f) = \Delta_A$ and $\ker_{X_1}(f) \cup f^{-1}(R) = f^{-1}(R)$ is a partial order.
If $X_1 = X$, then $\ker_{X_1}(f) = \ker(f)$ (now $\gamma \cap \ker(f) \subseteq \ker_{X_1}(f)$ automatically satisfied) and $\ker_{X_1}(f) \cup f^{-1}(R) = \ker(f) \cup f^{-1}(R)$ is a half-space extension of γ. In particular, if $\kappa : A \longrightarrow A/(\gamma \cap \gamma^{-1})$ is the canonical surjection and R is a linear extension of the induced partial order r_γ on $A/(\gamma \cap \gamma^{-1})$, then $\ker(\kappa) \cup \kappa^{-1}(R) = (\gamma \cap \gamma^{-1}) \cup \kappa^{-1}(R)$ is a half-space extension of γ.

Proof. The containment $\gamma \subseteq \ker_{X_1}(f) \cup f^{-1}(R)$ is a consequence of $\rho \subseteq R$, $\gamma \cap \ker(f) \subseteq \ker_{X_1}(f)$ and of the quasiorder preserving property of f. It is easy to see that $\ker_{X_1}(f) \cup f^{-1}(R)$ and $\ker_{X \setminus X_1}(f) \cup f^{-1}(R^{-1})$ are quasiorders on A. We have

$$(\ker_{X_1}(f) \cup f^{-1}(R)) \cup (\ker_{X \setminus X_1}(f) \cup f^{-1}(R^{-1})) = A \times A$$

and

$$(\ker_{X_1}(f) \cup f^{-1}(R)) \cap (\ker_{X \setminus X_1}(f) \cup f^{-1}(R^{-1})) = \Delta_A,$$

thus $\ker_{X_1}(f) \cup f^{-1}(R) \updownarrow \ker_{X \setminus X_1}(f) \cup f^{-1}(R^{-1})$. $\alpha \cap \alpha^{-1} = \ker_{X_1}(f)$ is obvious. To conclude the proof, it is enough to note that κ is a (γ, r_γ) quasiorder preserving function.□

2.8.Proposition. *Let (A,γ) be a quasiordered set, (X,ρ) a partially ordered set and $f : A \longrightarrow X$ a completely (γ,ρ) quasiorder preserving function: $(x,y) \in \gamma \Longleftrightarrow (f(x),f(y)) \in \rho$ for all $x,y \in A$. If $X_1^{(i)} \subseteq X$, $i \in I$ is a collection of subsets, $\gamma \cap \ker(f) \subseteq \ker_{X_1^{(i)}}(f)$ for all $i \in I$ and $\{R_i \mid i \in I\}$ is a set of linear extensions of ρ with $\bigcap\limits_{i \in I} R_i = \rho$, then*

$$\bigcap_{i \in I} (\ker_{X_1^{(i)}}(f) \cup f^{-1}(R_i)) = \gamma,$$

where the half-spaces $\ker_{X_1^{(i)}}(f) \cup f^{-1}(R_i)$, $i \in I$ are described in Proposition 2.7. In particular, if $\kappa : A \longrightarrow A/(\gamma \cap \gamma^{-1})$ is the canonical surjection and $\{R_i \mid i \in I\}$

is a set of linear extensions of the induced partial order r_γ *on* $A/(\gamma\cap\gamma^{-1})$ *with* $\underset{i\in I}{\cap} R_i = r_\gamma$*, then*

$$\bigcap_{i\in I}(\ker(\kappa)\cup\kappa^{-1}(R_i)) = \bigcap_{i\in I}((\gamma\cap\gamma^{-1})\cup\kappa^{-1}(R_i)) = \gamma.$$

Proof. We only have to show that

$$\bigcap_{i\in I}(\ker_{X_1^{(i)}}(f)\cup f^{-1}(R_i)) \subseteq \gamma.$$

In view of the definition of $\ker_{X_1^{(i)}}(f)\cup f^{-1}(R_i)$, the relation

$$(a,b)\in\bigcap_{i\in I}(\ker_{X_1^{(i)}}(f)\cup f^{-1}(R_i))$$

ensures that $f(a)\leq_{R_i} f(b)$ for all $i\in I$. Now $\underset{i\in I}{\cap} R_i = \rho$ implies $f(a)\leq_\rho f(b)$, whence we obtain $(a,b)\in\gamma$. To conclude the proof, it is enough to note that κ is completely (γ, r_γ) quasiorder preserving.□

The following is now a straightforward consequence.

2.9.Theorem. *Any quasiorder on* A *can be obtained as an intersection of half-space quasiorders on* A*.*

In terms of the classification of convexities by separation axioms (van de Vel [9]) the above theorem means that the convexity on $\{(x,y)\in A\times A\mid x\neq y\}$ whose convex sets are the strict quasiorders on A is an S_3 convexity, i.e. convex sets can be always separated from outside points by complementary half-spaces, as in the standard convexity of an Euclidean space or, as Szpilrajn's theorem [7] shows, in the coarser convexity of strict partial orders plus $\{(x,y)\in A\times A\mid x\neq y\}$. However, it is not difficult to see that, unlike in Euclidean space, in quasiorder convexity, or in the coarser partial order convexity, disjoint convex sets cannot always be separated by complementary half-spaces. A counterexample with respect to both the quasiorder and partial order convexities is provided, for $A=\{1,2,3,4\}$, by the partial orders $\{(1,2),(3,4)\}$ and $\{(1,4),(3,2)\}$.
Theorem 2.9 enables us to define a *half-space realizer* of a quasiorder $\gamma\subseteq A\times A$ as a set $\{\alpha_i\mid i\in I\}$ of half-spaces on A with $\bigcap_{i\in I}\alpha_i=\gamma$. The *half-space dimension* $\mathrm{hsdim}(A,\gamma)$ of a quasiordered set (A,γ) is the minimum of the cardinalities of the half-space realizers of γ. The close analogy between the half-space dimension and the usual order dimension of a partially ordered set can be seen immediately. The observation preceding Proposition 2.2 guarantees that

$$\mathrm{hs}\dim(B,\gamma\cap(B\times B))\leq\mathrm{hs}\dim(A,\gamma)$$

for any subset $B\subseteq A$. Since any linear order is a half-space, for a partially ordered set (A,γ) we have $\mathrm{hsdim}(A,\gamma)\leq\dim(A,\gamma)$, where dim denotes the order dimension. In general, here we can not expect equality. The partial order of the four element Boolean lattice M_2 is a half-space, thus $\mathrm{hsdim}(M_2,\leq)=1$, while $\dim(M_2,\leq)=2$. The next inequality is also a straightforward consequence of Proposition 2.8.

2.10.Corollary. *For a quasiordered set* (A,γ) *we have*

$$\mathrm{hs}\dim(A,\gamma)\leq\dim(A/(\gamma\cap\gamma^{-1}),r_\gamma).$$

The following theorem gives a complete description of half-space quasiorders.

2.11.Theorem. *If* $\alpha \subseteq A \times A$ *is a relation, then the following are equivalent.*

(1) α *is a half-space quasiorder on* A.

(2) *There exists an equivalence relation* ε *on* A, *a linear order* R *on the factor set* A/ε *and a function* $t : A/\varepsilon \longrightarrow \{0, 1\}$ *with* $t([a]_\varepsilon) = 0$ *where* $[a]_\varepsilon = \{a\}$ *such that*

$$\alpha = \Delta_A \cup \{(a, b) \in A \times A \,|\, [a]_\varepsilon = [b]_\varepsilon \text{ and } t([a]_\varepsilon) = 1\} \cup \{(a, b) \in A \times A \,|\, [a]_\varepsilon <_R [b]_\varepsilon\}\,.$$

(3) *There exist a set* X, *a subset* $X_1 \subseteq X$, *a linear order* R *on* X *and a function* $f : A \longrightarrow X$ *such that* $\alpha = \ker_{X_1}(f) \cup f^{-1}(R)$.

(4) *There exists an equivalence relation* ε *on* A *such that* α *is either the full or the identity relation on each* ε*-equivalence class, and any irredundant set of representatives of the* ε*-equivalence classes is linearly ordered by* α.

Proof. (1) $\Longrightarrow$ (2): Let $\alpha \updownarrow \beta$ be complementary half-spaces and take

$$\varepsilon = (\alpha \cap \alpha^{-1}) \cup (\beta \cap \beta^{-1}).$$

Clearly, ε is reflexive and symmetric. Assume that $(x, y) \in \alpha \cap \alpha^{-1}$ and $(y, z) \in \beta \cap \beta^{-1}$. Since $\alpha \cup \beta = A \times A$, we have either $(x, z) \in \alpha$ or $(x, z) \in \beta$. In the first case $(y, x) \in \alpha$ implies that $(y, z) \in \alpha \cap \beta = \Delta_A$. In the second case $(z, y) \in \beta$ implies that $(x, y) \in \alpha \cap \beta = \Delta_A$. Thus $(x, y) \in \alpha \cap \alpha^{-1}$ and $(y, z) \in \beta \cap \beta^{-1}$ imply $x = y$ or $y = z$. Similarly, $(x, y) \in \beta \cap \beta^{-1}$ and $(y, z) \in \alpha \cap \alpha^{-1}$ also imply $x = y$ or $y = z$. In view of the above observations, it is easy to see that ε is transitive. We also have $[a]_\varepsilon = [a]_{\alpha \cap \alpha^{-1}} \cup [a]_{\beta \cap \beta^{-1}}$ and $[a]_{\alpha \cap \alpha^{-1}} = \{a\}$ or $[a]_{\beta \cap \beta^{-1}} = \{a\}$ for all $a \in A$.

We claim that $(a, b) \in \alpha$ and $[a]_\varepsilon \neq [b]_\varepsilon$ imply that $(x, y) \in \alpha$ for all $x \in [a]_\varepsilon$ and for all $y \in [b]_\varepsilon$. Suppose that $(x, y) \notin \alpha$, then $(x, y) \in \beta$. In view of $(x, a), (y, b) \in \varepsilon$ we have the following cases. (i) $(x, a), (b, y) \in \alpha$, whence $(x, y) \in \alpha$ can be obtained, a contradiction. (ii) $(x, a) \in \alpha$ and $(y, b) \in \beta$, whence $(x, b) \in \alpha \cap \beta = \Delta_A$ can be obtained in contradiction with $[x]_\varepsilon = [a]_\varepsilon \neq [b]_\varepsilon$. (iii) $(a, x) \in \beta$ and $(b, y) \in \alpha$, whence $(a, y) \in \alpha \cap \beta = \Delta_A$ can be obtained in contradiction with $[a]_\varepsilon \neq [b]_\varepsilon = [y]_\varepsilon$. (iv) $(a, x), (y, b) \in \beta$, whence $(a, b) \in \alpha \cap \beta = \Delta_A$ can be obtained in contradiction with $[a]_\varepsilon \neq [b]_\varepsilon$. Thus the claim is proved.

Using our claim it is straightforward to check that

$$R = \{([a]_\varepsilon, [b]_\varepsilon) \mid (a, b) \in \alpha\}$$

is a linear order on A/ε. For $a \in A$ let

$$t([a]_\varepsilon) = \begin{cases} 1 \text{ if } [a]_\varepsilon = [a]_{\alpha \cap \alpha^{-1}} \neq \{a\} \\ 0 \text{ otherwise} \end{cases}\,.$$

Clearly, t is well defined, moreover $[a]_\varepsilon = \{a\}$ implies $[a]_\varepsilon = [a]_{\alpha \cap \alpha^{-1}} = \{a\}$ and $t([a]_\varepsilon) = 0$. If $t([a]_\varepsilon) = 1$, then $[a]_\varepsilon = [a]_{\alpha \cap \alpha^{-1}}$ and $[a]_\varepsilon = [b]_\varepsilon$ implies that $(a, b) \in \alpha$. It follows that

$$\Delta_A \cup \{(a, b) \in A \times A \,|\, [a]_\varepsilon = [b]_\varepsilon \text{ and } t([a]_\varepsilon) = 1\} \cup \{(a, b) \in A \times A \,|\, [a]_\varepsilon <_R [b]_\varepsilon\} \subseteq \alpha.$$

If $[a]_\varepsilon = [b]_\varepsilon$, then $(a, b) \in \alpha$ and $a \neq b$ implies that $[a]_\varepsilon = [a]_{\alpha \cap \alpha^{-1}} \neq \{a\}$, whence

$$\alpha \subseteq \Delta_A \cup \{(a, b) \in A \times A \,|\, [a]_\varepsilon = [b]_\varepsilon \text{ and } t([a]_\varepsilon) = 1\} \cup \{(a, b) \in A \times A \,|\, [a]_\varepsilon <_R [b]_\varepsilon\}$$

can be obtained.

(2) $\Longrightarrow$ (3): It is straightforward to see that $\alpha = \ker_{X_1}(f) \cup f^{-1}(R)$, where $X = A/\varepsilon$, $X_1 = \{[a]_\varepsilon \mid a \in A \text{ and } t([a]_\varepsilon) = 1\}$ and $f : A \longrightarrow X$ is the canonical surjection. Thus any half-space quasiorder can be obtained by the standard construction of Proposition 2.7.
(3) $\Longrightarrow$ (1): This implication is a part of Proposition 2.7.
(2) $\Longleftrightarrow$ (4): Condition (4) is simply a reformulation of (2).□

2.12.Remark. *The triple* $(f, X_1 \subseteq X, R)$ *given in the* (2) $\Longrightarrow$ (3) *part of the above proof has the following universal property. If* $g : A \longrightarrow Y$ *is a function,* $Y_1 \subseteq Y$ *is a subset and* S *is a linear order on* Y *such that*

$$\alpha = \ker_{Y_1}(g) \cup f^{-1}(S),$$

then there exists a unique function $h : X \longrightarrow Y$ *with* $h \circ f = g$*, moreover* $h(X_1) \subseteq Y_1$*,* $g^{-1}(\{y\})$ *is a one element set for all* $y \in h(X \setminus X_1) \cap Y_1$ *and* h *is* $(<_R, <_S)$ *strict order preserving*

In view of the above characterization of the half-space α, an equivalence class $[a]_\varepsilon$ is called a *box* of α, such a box is called *full* if $t([a]_\varepsilon) = 1$ and *empty* if $t([a]_\varepsilon) = 0$ (note that a one element box is always empty). A subset $B \subseteq A$ is a box of the half-space α, iff there are no elements $b_1, b_2 \in B$ such that $(b_1, b_2) \in \alpha$, $(b_2, b_1) \notin \alpha$ and B is maximal with respect to this property. A box is empty if $\alpha \cap (B \times B) = \Delta_B$ and full if $|B| > 1$ and $B \times B \subseteq \alpha$.
In certain situations it is also convenient to give a half-space as

$$\alpha = (B_w, w \in W, \leq_W, t),$$

where the subsets $B_w \subseteq A$, $w \in W$ are the boxes of α, the linear order $\leq_W$ is given on the index set W and $t(B_w) = 1$ or $t(B_w) = 0$ shows that B_w is full or empty. If W is finite, then we can write $W = \{1, 2, ..., n\}$ and $\alpha = (B_1 < B_2 < ... < B_n, t)$. If $\alpha \updownarrow \beta$ is a complementary pair of half-spaces, then α and β have the same boxes, a full α-box is an empty β-box and a full β-box is an empty α-box, moreover the linear order of the boxes in α and β are opposite to each other. It is also clear, that $[a]_{\alpha \cap \alpha^{-1}} = \{a\}$ if $[a]_\varepsilon$ is empty and $[a]_{\alpha \cap \alpha^{-1}} = [a]_\varepsilon$ if $[a]_\varepsilon$ is full.
With reference to the terminology of interval decompositions and lexicographic sums of partial orders and more general relations (see e.g. [3,4,5,6]), it is clear from condition (4) of Theorem 2.11 that half-space quasiorders are precisely the lexicographic relational sums of trivial and full binary relations over a linear order, i.e. they are the binary relations decomposable into intervals such that the restriction to each interval is a trivial or full relation and the quotient is a linear order.

2.13.Theorem. *If* (A, γ) *is a quasiordered set and* $\{\alpha_i \mid i \in I\}$ *is a half-space realizer of* γ *with* $|I| \geq 2$*, then there exists an* I*-indexed family* R_i*,* $i \in I$ *of linear extensions of the induced partial order* r_γ *on* $A/(\gamma \cap \gamma^{-1})$ *such that*

$$\bigcap_{i \in I} R_i = r_\gamma.$$

Proof. By Proposition 2.4, for each $i \in I$ there exists a half-space τ_i on A such that $\gamma \subseteq \tau_i \subseteq \alpha_i$ and $\tau_i \cap \tau_i^{-1} = \gamma \cap \gamma^{-1}$. Clearly, $\bigcap_{i \in I} \alpha_i = \gamma$ implies that $\bigcap_{i \in I} \tau_i = \gamma$, whence

$$\bigcap_{i \in I} r_{\tau_i} = r_\gamma$$

can be derived for the induced partial orders r_{τ_i}, $i \in I$ on $A/(\tau_i \cap \tau_i^{-1}) = A/(\gamma \cap \gamma^{-1})$. Using the notation $\pi_i = r_{\tau_i}$, Proposition 2.3 ensures that each partial order π_i is a half-space on $P = A/(\gamma \cap \gamma^{-1})$.

We claim, that

$$\rho = \Delta_P \cup \left(\left(\underset{i \in I}{\cup} \pi_i \right)^{-1} \setminus \left(\underset{i \in I}{\cup} \pi_i \right) \right)$$

is partial order on P. The reflexive and antisymmetric properties of ρ can be immediately seen. In order to prove the transitivity of ρ consider the pairs $(x, y) \in \rho$ and $(y, z) \in \rho$ with $x, y, z \in P$ being different. We have $(y, x) \in \pi_j$, $(z, y) \in \pi_k$ for some $j, k \in I$ and $(x, y) \notin \underset{i \in I}{\cup} \pi_i$, $(y, z) \notin \underset{i \in I}{\cup} \pi_i$. If $(z, y) \in \pi_j$, then the transitivity of π_j implies $(z, x) \in \pi_j$. If $(z, y) \notin \pi_j$, then $(y, z) \notin \pi_j$ and the half-space property of π_j imply that $(z, x) \in \pi_j$ (see part (3) of Proposition 2.2). It follows that $(x, z) \in \left(\underset{i \in I}{\cup} \pi_i \right)^{-1}$. Suppose that $(x, z) \in \underset{i \in I}{\cup} \pi_i$, then $(x, z) \in \pi_t$ for some $t \in I$. If $(z, y) \in \pi_t$, then the transitivity of π_t gives that $(x, y) \in \pi_t$, a contradiction. If $(z, y) \notin \pi_t$, then $(y, z) \notin \pi_t$ and the half-space property of π_t gives that $(x, y) \in \pi_t$ (see part (4) of Proposition 2.2), an other contradiction. Thus $(x, z) \notin \underset{i \in I}{\cup} \pi_i$, whence $(x, z) \in \rho$ follows.

Let $\sigma_i \subseteq P \times P$ denote the complementary half-space of π_i and consider the following equivalence relation:

$$\Theta = \underset{i \in I}{\cap} (\sigma_i \cap \sigma_i^{-1})$$

on P. Since $\pi_i^{-1} \cap \sigma_i^{-1} = \Delta_P$ for all $i \in I$, we have $\rho \cap \Theta = \Delta_P$ and hence $\rho^{-1} \cap \Theta = \Delta_P$. Now we prove the containments $\Theta \circ \rho \subseteq \rho$ and $\rho \circ \Theta \subseteq \rho$. If $(x, y) \in \Theta$ and $(y, z) \in \rho$ for the elements $x, y, z \in P$ with x, y, z being different, then $(z, y) \in \pi_j$ for some $j \in I$ and $(y, z) \notin \underset{i \in I}{\cup} \pi_i$. In view of $(x, y) \in \sigma_j \cap \sigma_j^{-1}$, we have $(x, y) \notin \pi_j$ and $(y, x) \notin \pi_j$. Using part (4) in Proposition 2.2, we obtain that $(z, x) \in \pi_j$ and $(x, z) \in \left(\underset{i \in I}{\cup} \pi_i \right)^{-1}$. Suppose that $(x, z) \in \underset{i \in I}{\cup} \pi_i$, then $(x, z) \in \pi_k$ follows for some $k \in I$. Since $(x, y) \in \sigma_k \cap \sigma_k^{-1}$ implies that $(x, y) \notin \pi_k$ and $(y, x) \notin \pi_k$, the application of part (3) in Proposition 2.2 yields $(y, z) \in \pi_k$, a contradiction. Thus we have $(x, z) \notin \underset{i \in I}{\cup} \pi_i$, whence $(x, z) \in \rho$ follows. A similar argument shows that $\rho \circ \Theta \subseteq \rho$.

Fix a linear order μ on P, then $\mu \cap \Theta$ and $\mu^{-1} \cap \Theta$ are partial orders. Using the above properties of ρ and Θ, it is straightforward to see that $\rho \cup (\mu \cap \Theta)$ and $\rho \cup (\mu^{-1} \cap \Theta)$ are also partial orders on P.

Let $\rho \cup (\mu \cap \Theta) \subseteq \lambda$ and $\rho \cup (\mu^{-1} \cap \Theta) \subseteq \lambda^*$ be linear extensions on P and fix an index $i^* \in I$. In view of Proposition 2.5, we can consider the linear orders $R_i = \pi_i[\lambda]$, $i \in I \setminus \{i^*\}$ and $R_{i^*} = \pi_{i^*}[\lambda^*]$ on P (note that $I \setminus \{i^*\}$ is not empty). Since $\pi_i \subseteq R_i$ for all $i \in I$, the inclusion

$$r_\gamma = \bigcap_{i \in I} \pi_i \subseteq \bigcap_{i \in I} R_i$$

is obvious. In order to prove the reverse containment let $(x, y) \notin \bigcap_{i \in I} \pi_i$ for some $x, y \in P$. We have $(x, y) \notin \pi_j$ for some $j \in I$. If $(y, x) \in \underset{i \in I}{\cup} \pi_i$, then $(y, x) \in \pi_k \subseteq R_k$

and hence $(x,y) \notin R_k$ for some $k \in I$. If $(y,x) \notin \underset{i\in I}{\cup}\pi_i$, then we distinguish two cases.
First suppose that $(x,y) \in \underset{i\in I}{\cup}\pi_i$. Then $(y,x) \in \rho \subseteq \lambda \cap \lambda^*$ and the relations $(x,y) \notin \pi_j$, $(y,x) \notin \pi_j$ imply that $(y,x) \in \pi_j[\lambda]$ (or $(y,x) \in \pi_{i^*}[\lambda^*]$ if $j = i^*$), whence $(x,y) \notin R_j$ follows.
Next suppose that $(x,y) \notin \underset{i\in I}{\cup}\pi_i$. Then $(x,y) \in \Theta$ and the linearity of μ gives that we have either $(y,x) \in \mu\cap\Theta$ or $(y,x) \in \mu^{-1}\cap\Theta$. If $(y,x) \in \mu\cap\Theta \subseteq \lambda$, then $(y,x) \in \pi_i[\lambda]$ and hence $(x,y) \notin \pi_i[\lambda] = R_i$ for all $i \in I \setminus \{i^*\}$. If $(y,x) \in \mu^{-1} \cap \Theta \subseteq \lambda^*$, then $(y,x) \in \pi_{i^*}[\lambda^*]$ and hence $(x,y) \notin \pi_{i^*}[\lambda^*] = R_{i^*}$.□

2.14.Remark. *Another possibility to construct the linear orders* R_i *in the above proof is the following. Fix a well ordering* $<$ *on* I *and for* $i \in I \setminus \{i^*\}$ *let*

$$R_i = \pi_i \cup ((\sigma_i \cap \sigma_i^{-1}) \cap \Lambda) \cup (\Theta \cap \mu),$$

$$R_{i^*} = \pi_{i^*} \cup ((\sigma_{i^*} \cap \sigma_{i^*}^{-1}) \cap \Lambda) \cup (\Theta \cap \mu^{-1}),$$

where $\Lambda = \{(x,y) \mid (y,x) \in \pi_k \text{ and } (x,y) \in \bigcap_{i\in I, i<k} (\sigma_i \cap \sigma_i^{-1}) \text{ for some } k \in I\}$.

In view of Corollaries 2.6 and 2.10, the above Theorem 2.13 yields the following.

2.15.Theorem. *If* (A,γ) *is a quasiordered set and* $\operatorname{hsdim}(A,\gamma) = 1$, *then* γ *is a half-space and*

$\dim(A/(\gamma\cap\gamma^{-1}), r_\gamma) = 1$ *if* γ *has no empty box with more than one element,*

$\dim(A/(\gamma\cap\gamma^{-1}), r_\gamma) = 2$ *if* γ *has an empty box with more than one element.*

If $\operatorname{hsdim}(A,\gamma) \geq 2$, *then we have*

$$\dim(A/(\gamma\cap\gamma^{-1}), r_\gamma) = \operatorname{hs}\dim(A,\gamma).$$

2.16.Theorem. *If* (A,γ) *is a partially ordered set and* $\operatorname{hsdim}(A,\gamma) = 1$, *then* γ *is a half-space and*

$\dim(A,\gamma) = 1$ *if* γ *is a linear order,*

$\dim(A,\gamma) = 2$ *if* γ *is not a linear order.*

If $\operatorname{hsdim}(A,\gamma) \geq 2$, *then we have*

$$\dim(A,\gamma) = \operatorname{hs}\dim(A,\gamma).$$

3. DIRECT PRODUCT IRREDUCIBILITY OF HALF-SPACE QUASIORDERS

If (A_i,γ_i), $i \in I$ is a family of quasiordered sets, then

$$\prod_{i\in I}\gamma_i = \{(\underline{a},\underline{b}) \mid \underline{a},\underline{b} \in \prod_{i\in I}A_i \text{ and } (\underline{a}(i),\underline{b}(i)) \in \gamma_i \text{ for all } i \in I\}$$

is a quasiorder on the product set $\prod_{i\in I}A_i$ (here $\underline{a}$ and $\underline{b}$ are functions $I \longrightarrow \bigcup_{i\in I}A_i$ such that $\underline{a}(i), \underline{b}(i) \in A_i$ for all $i \in I$). We call $(\prod_{i\in I}A_i, \prod_{i\in I}\gamma_i)$ the direct product of the above family. The kernel of the natural surjection

$$\varphi : \prod_{i\in I}A_i \longrightarrow \prod_{i\in I}A_i/(\gamma_i \cap \gamma_i^{-1})$$

is $\prod\limits_{i\in I}(\gamma_i\cap\gamma_i^{-1})$, whence we obtain a natural bijection

$$\left(\prod_{i\in I}A_i\right)/\left(\prod_{i\in I}(\gamma_i\cap\gamma_i^{-1})\right)\longrightarrow\prod_{i\in I}A_i/(\gamma_i\cap\gamma_i^{-1}).$$

It is easy to see that

$$(\prod_{i\in I}\gamma_i)\cap(\prod_{i\in I}\gamma_i)^{-1}=\prod_{i\in I}(\gamma_i\cap\gamma_i^{-1})\text{ and }r=\prod_{i\in I}r_{\gamma_i},$$

where r is the partial order on $\prod\limits_{i\in I}A_i/(\gamma_i\cap\gamma_i^{-1})$ induced by the quasiorder $\prod\limits_{i\in I}\gamma_i$. The product of non-trivial partial orders is never a linear order. In contrast, the product of two half-spaces can be a half-space again: the four element Boolean lattice M_2 is a product of two-element chains. We show that this is the only possibility to get a non-trivial half-space as a product of quasiorders.

3.1.Lemma. *Let (A_i,γ_i), $i\in I$ be a family of quasiordered sets and let $j,k\in I$, $j\neq k$ be indices such that $a_j\neq c_j$, $(a_j,c_j)\in\gamma_j$, $(a_j,b_j)\notin\gamma_j$ for some $a_j,b_j,c_j\in A_j$ and $\gamma_k\neq A_k\times A_k$ with $|A_k|>1$. Then $\prod\limits_{i\in I}\gamma_i$ is not a half-space on $\prod\limits_{i\in I}A_i$.*

Proof. Let $\underline{u}\in\prod\limits_{i\in I}A_i$ be an arbitrary element and $x_k,y_k\in A_k$ such that $(x_k,y_k)\notin\gamma_k$. Define $\underline{a},\underline{b},\underline{c}\in\prod\limits_{i\in I}A_i$ as follows: for an index $i\in I$ let

$$\underline{a}(i)=\begin{cases}a_j\text{ if }i=j\\ y_k\text{ if }i=k\\ \underline{u}(i)\text{ if }i\in I\setminus\{j,k\}\end{cases},\quad \underline{b}(i)=\begin{cases}b_j\text{ if }i=j\\ x_k\text{ if }i=k\\ \underline{u}(i)\text{ if }i\in I\setminus\{j,k\}\end{cases},$$

$$\underline{c}(i)=\begin{cases}c_j\text{ if }i=j\\ y_k\text{ if }i=k\\ \underline{u}(i)\text{ if }i\in I\setminus\{j,k\}\end{cases}.$$

Clearly, $(a_j,b_j)\notin\gamma_j$ implies $(\underline{a},\underline{b})\notin\prod\limits_{i\in I}\gamma_i$ and $(x_k,y_k)\notin\gamma_k$ implies $(\underline{b},\underline{a})\notin\prod\limits_{i\in I}\gamma_i$. Since $(\underline{a},\underline{c})\in\prod\limits_{i\in I}\gamma_i$ and $(x_k,y_k)\notin\gamma_k$ implies $(\underline{b},\underline{c})\notin\prod\limits_{i\in I}\gamma_i$, we can use part (3) in Proposition 2.2 to see that $\prod\limits_{i\in I}\gamma_i$ is not a half-space (we note that $\underline{c}\neq\underline{a}$ is an immediate consequence of $a_j\neq c_j$).□

3.2.Lemma. *If (A,γ) is a quasiordered set such that there are no elements $a,b,c\in A$ with $a\neq c$, $(a,c)\in\gamma$ and $(a,b)\notin\gamma$, then $\gamma\in\{\Delta_A,A\times A\}$ or $\gamma=(B_1<B_2)$ is a half-space with a full lower box B_1 (or $|B_1|=1$) and an empty upper box B_2.*

Proof. If $\gamma\notin\{\Delta_A,A\times A\}$ satisfies the above conditions, then for each $a\in A$ we have either $(a,x)\in\gamma$ for all $x\in A$ or $(a,y)\notin\gamma$ for all $y\in A$. Take

$$B_1=\{a\in A\mid(a,x)\in\gamma\text{ for all }x\in A\}\text{ and }B_2=\{a\in A\mid(a,y)\notin\gamma\text{ for all }y\in A\},$$

then $B_1\cup B_2=A$, $B_1\cap B_2=\varnothing$ and $\gamma=B_1\times A=(B_1\times B_1)\cup(B_1\times B_2)$ is a half-space, with a full lower box B_1 (or $|B_1|=1$) and an empty upper box B_2. Thus we can write $\gamma=(B_1<B_2)$.□

3.3.Lemma. *Let* $\gamma_i = (B_{i1} < B_{i2})$, $1 \le i \le 2$ *be half-spaces on* A_i *with full lower boxes* B_{i1} *(or* $|B_{i1}| = 1$*) and empty upper boxes* B_{i2}. *Then we have the following.*

1. $\Delta_{A_1 \times A_2} \ne \gamma_1 \times \gamma_2 \ne (A_1 \times A_2) \times (A_1 \times A_2)$ *and take* $\underline{a} = (a_{12}, a_{21})$, $\underline{b} = (a_{11}, a_{21})$, $\underline{c} = (a_{12}, a_{22})$, *where* $a_{ij} \in B_{ij}$, $i, j \in \{1, 2\}$ *are arbitrary elements. Then* $\underline{a} \ne \underline{c}$, $(\underline{a}, \underline{c}) \in \gamma_1 \times \gamma_2$ *and* $(\underline{a}, \underline{b}) \notin \gamma_1 \times \gamma_2$.
2. $\gamma_1 \times \gamma_2$ *is a half-space if and only if* $|B_{ij}| = 1$ *for all* $i, j \in \{1, 2\}$.

Proof.(1): Obvious.
(2): If $|B_{ij}| = 1$ for all $i, j \in \{1, 2\}$, then it is clear that $A_1 \times A_2$ is a four element set and $\gamma_1 \times \gamma_2$ is a partial order relation on $A_1 \times A_2$ providing a lattice isomorphic to M_2, which is a half-space as we have already noted.
Suppose now, that $|B_{11}| > 1$ and take $a', a'' \in B_{11}$ such that $a' \ne a''$. Let $\underline{z} = (a', b)$, $\underline{x} = (a'', b)$ and $\underline{y} = (a, c)$, where $a \in B_{12}$, $b \in B_{22}$, $c \in B_{21}$ are arbitrary elements. Since $(\underline{x}, \underline{y}) \notin \gamma_1 \times \gamma_2$, $(\underline{y}, \underline{x}) \notin \gamma_1 \times \gamma_2$ and $(\underline{x}, \underline{z}) \in \gamma_1 \times \gamma_2$, $(\underline{y}, \underline{z}) \notin \gamma_1 \times \gamma_2$, we can apply part (3) in Proposition 2.2 to derive that $\gamma_1 \times \gamma_2$ is not a half-space.
If $|B_{12}| > 1$ then take $a', a'' \in B_{12}$ such that $a' \ne a''$. Let $\underline{z} = (a', b)$, $\underline{x} = (a', c)$ and $\underline{y} = (a'', c)$, where $b \in B_{22}$, $c \in B_{21}$ are arbitrary elements. Since $(\underline{x}, \underline{y}) \notin \gamma_1 \times \gamma_2$, $(\underline{y}, \underline{x}) \notin \gamma_1 \times \gamma_2$ and $(\underline{x}, \underline{z}) \in \gamma_1 \times \gamma_2$, $(\underline{y}, \underline{z}) \notin \gamma_1 \times \gamma_2$, we can apply part (3) in Proposition 2.2 to derive that $\gamma_1 \times \gamma_2$ is not a half-space.
The cases $|B_{21}| > 1$ and $|B_{22}| > 1$ can be treated analogously.□

3.4.Theorem. *If* (A_i, γ_i), $i \in I$ *is a family of non-trivial quasiordered sets (i.e.* $\Delta_{A_i} \ne \gamma_i \ne A_i \times A_i$ *for all* $i \in I$*), then the following are equivalent.*

1. $\prod_{i \in I} \gamma_i$ *is a half-space on* $\prod_{i \in I} A_i$.
2. *Either* $I = \{1\}$ *and* γ_1 *is a half-space or* $I = \{1, 2\}$ *and* (A_1, γ_1), (A_2, γ_2) *are two-element chains.*

Proof.
(2) $\Longrightarrow$ (1): It is an immediate consequence of part (2) in Lemma 3.3.
(1) $\Longrightarrow$ (2): It is enough to deal with the case $|I| \ge 2$. Using Lemma 3.1, we obtain that there is no $j \in I$ such that $a_j \ne c_j$, $(a_j, c_j) \in \gamma_j$, $(a_j, b_j) \notin \gamma_j$ for some $a_j, b_j, c_j \in A_j$. In view of Lemma 3.2, each γ_j is a half-space on A_j of the form $\gamma_j = (B_{j1} < B_{j2})$ with a full lower box B_{j1} (or $|B_{j1}| = 1$) and an empty upper box B_{j2}. If $|I| \ge 3$, then we have different indices $i_1, i_2, i_3 \in I$ and

$$\prod_{i \in I} \gamma_i = (\gamma_{i_1} \times \gamma_{i_2}) \times \gamma_{i_3} \times (\prod_{i \in I \setminus \{i_1, i_2, i_3\}} \gamma_i),$$

where $\gamma_{i_1} \times \gamma_{i_2}$ has the property described in part (1) of Lemma 3.3. Since $\gamma_{i_3} \ne A_{i_3} \times A_{i_3}$ with $|A_{i_3}| > 1$, Lemma 3.1 ensures that our product is not a half-space, a contradiction. Thus $|I| = 2$ and part (2) in Lemma 3.3 gives that (A_1, γ_1) and (A_2, γ_2) are two-element chains (here we assumed $I = \{1, 2\}$).□

3.5.Remark. *If* $\gamma_j = \Delta_{A_j}$ *and* $|A_j| > 1$ *for some* $j \in I$, *then* $\prod_{i \in I} \gamma_i$ *is disconnected, hence not a non-trivial half-space (because* $\prod_{i \in I} \gamma_i = \Delta$ *would be the only possibility to get a half-space). If* $\gamma_j = A_j \times A_j$ *for some* $j \in I$, *then* γ_j *has no effect on* *wether the product* $\prod_{i\in I}\gamma_i$ *is a half-space (in other words* $\prod_{i\in I}\gamma_i$ *is a half-space if and only if* $\prod_{i\in I\setminus\{j\}}\gamma_i$ *is a half-space).*

Acknowledgement: *The initial version of this paper was prepared while the first named author was at the Alfred Renyi Institute of Mathematics, Hungarian Academy of Sciences.*

REFERENCES

(1) Bonnet, R. , Pouzet, M. : *Linear extensions of ordered sets,* in Ordered Sets (I. Rival, ed.), Proceedings of the Nato Advanced Study Institute Conference held in Banff, August 28-September 12, 1981, D. Reidel Publishing Co., Dordrecht-Boston (1982), 125-170.
(2) Dushnik, B., Miller, E.W. : *Partially ordered sets,* Am. J. Math. 63, 600-610 (1941).
(3) Foldes, S. : *On intervals in relational structures,* Zeitschrift Math. Logik und Grundlagen Math. 26 (1980), 97-101.
(4) Foldes, S. , Radeleczki, S. : *On interval decomposition lattices,* Discussiones Mathematicae, General Algebra and Applications 24 (2004), 95-114.
(5) Hausdorff, F. : *Grundzüge einer Theorie der geordneten Mengen,* Math. Ann. 65 (1908), no. 4, 435–505.
(6) Körtesi, P. , Radeleczki, S. , Szilágyi, Sz. : *Congruences and isotone maps on partially ordered sets,* Math. Pannonica 16/1 (2005), 39-55.
(7) Szpilrajn, E. : *Sur l'extension de l'ordre partiel,* Fund. Math. 16 (1930), 386-389.
(8) Trotter, W. T.: *Combinatorics and Partially Ordered Sets, Dimension Theory,* The Johns Hopkins University Press, Baltimore-London, 1992.
(9) van de Vel, M. L. J. : *Theory of Convex Structures,* North-Holland Mathematical Library, 50. North-Holland Publishing Co., Amsterdam, 1993.

Institute of Mathematics, Tampere University of Technology PL 553, 33101 Tampere, Finland
E-mail address: `stephan.foldes@tut.fi`

Institute of Mathematics, University of Miskolc, Miskolc, Hungary 3515
E-mail address: `jeno.szigeti@uni-miskolc.hu`